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## Practical Examples: Binary Responses

Consider the following situations:

- A weatherman would like to understand if the probability of a rainy day occurring depends on atmospheric pressure, temperature, or relative humidity
- A doctor wants to estimate the chance of a stroke incident as a function of blood pressure or weight
- An engineer is interested in the likelihood of a device failing functionality based on specific parametric readings


## More Practical Examples

- The corrections department is trying to learn if the number of inmate training hours affects the probability of released prisoners returning to jail (recidivism)
- The military is interested in the probability of a missile destroying an incoming target as a function of the speed of the target
- A real estate agency is concerned with measuring the likelihood of selling property given the income of various clients
- An equipment manufacturer is investigating reliability after six months of operation using different spin rates or temperature settings


## Binary Responses

- In all these examples, the dependent variable is a binary indicator response, taking on the values of either 0 or 1 , depending on which of of two categories the response falls into: success-failure, yes-no, rainydry, target hit-target missed, etc.
- We are interested in determining the role of explanatory or regressor variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ on the binary response for purposes of prediction.


## Simple Linear Regression

Consider the simple linear regression model for a binary response:

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}
$$

where the indicator variable $Y_{i}=0,1$.
Since $E\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=0$, the mean response is

$$
E\left(Y_{i}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}
$$

## Interpretation of Binary Response

- Since $Y_{i}$ can take on only the values 0 and 1 , we choose the Bernoulli distribution for the probability model.
- Thus, the probability that $Y_{i}=1$ is the mean $p_{i}$ and the probability that $Y_{i}=0$ is $1-p_{i}$.
- The mean response

$$
E\left(Y_{i}\right)=1 \times p_{i}+0 \times\left(1-p_{i}\right)=p_{i}
$$

is thus interpreted as the probability that $Y_{i}=1$ when the regressor variable is $X_{i}$.

## Model Considerations

Consider the variance of $Y_{i}$ for a given $X_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V\left(Y_{i} \mid X_{i}\right)=V\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i} \mid X_{i}\right)=V\left(\varepsilon_{i} \mid X_{i}\right) \\
& =p_{i}\left(1-p_{i}\right)=\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}\right)\left(1-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} X_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We see the variance is not constant since it depends on the value of $X_{i}$. This is a violation of basic regression assumptions.

- Solution: Use weighted least squares regression in which the weights selected are inversely proportional to the variance of $Y_{i}$, where

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\hat{Y}_{i}\left(1-\hat{Y}_{i}\right)
$$

## Distribution of Errors

- Note also that the errors cannot be normally distributed since there are only two possible values (0 or 1 ) for $\varepsilon_{i}$ at each regressor level.
- Fitted model should have the property that the predicted responses lie between 0 and 1 for all $X_{i}$ within the range of original data. No guarantee that the simple linear model will have this behavior.


## Example 1: Missile Test Data*

The table shows the results of test-firing 25 ground to air missiles at targets of various speeds. A " 1 " is a hit and a " 0 " is a miss.

* Example from Montgomery \& Peck, Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis, 2nd Ed. Table 6.4

| Test <br> Firing I | Target <br> Speed <br> (knots) xi | Hit or Miss <br> yi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 400 | 0 |
| 2 | 220 | 1 |
| 3 | 490 | 0 |
| 4 | 410 | 1 |
| 5 | 500 | 0 |
| 6 | 270 | 0 |
| 7 | 200 | 1 |
| 8 | 470 | 0 |
| 9 | 480 | 0 |
| 10 | 310 | 1 |
| 11 | 240 | 1 |
| 12 | 490 | 0 |
| 13 | 420 | 0 |
| 14 | 330 | 1 |
| 15 | 280 | 1 |
| 16 | 210 | 1 |
| 17 | 300 | 1 |
| 18 | 470 | 1 |
| 19 | 230 | 0 |
| 20 | 430 | 0 |
| 21 | 460 | 0 |
| 22 | 220 | 1 |
| 23 | 250 | 1 |
| 24 | 200 | 1 |
| 25 | 390 | 0 |

## EXCEL Plot of Data

There appears to be a tendency for misses to increase with increasing target speed.
Let us group the data to reveal the association better.

Plot of yi Versus Target Speed xi (knots)


## Grouped Data



Clearly, the probability of a hit seems to decrease with speed. We will fit a straight-line model to the data using weighted least squares.

## Weighted Least Squares

- We will use the inverse of the variance of $Y_{i}$ for the weights $w_{i}$. Problem: these are not known because they are a function of the unknown parameters $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$ in the regression model. That is, the weights $w_{i}$ are:

$$
w_{i}=\frac{1}{V\left(Y_{i} \mid X_{i}\right)}=\frac{1}{p_{i}\left(1-p_{i}\right)}=\frac{1}{\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}\right)\left(1-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} X_{i}\right)}
$$

- Solution: We can initially estimate $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$ using ordinary (unweighted) LS. Then, we calculate the weights with these estimates and solve for the weighted LS coefficients. One iteration usually suffices.


## Simple Linear Regression in EXCEL

Several methods exist:

- Use "Regression" macro in "Data Analysis Tools."
- Use "Function" button to pull up "Slope" and "Intercept" under "Statistical" listings. Sort data first by regressor variable.
- Click on data points in plot of $Y_{i}$ vs. $X_{i}$, select menubar "Insert" followed by "Trendline". In dialog box, select options tab and choose "Display equation on chart."
- Use EXCEL array tools (transpose, minverse, and mmult) to define and manipulate matrices. (Requires Cntrl-Shift-Enter for array entry.)


## EXCEL Data Analysis Tools

## Output:

SUMMARY OUTPUT

| Regression Statistics |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Multiple R | 0.64673 |
| R Square | 0.41826 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.39296 |
| Standard Error | 0.39728 |
| Observations | 25 |

Can also display residuals and various plots.

| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | SS | MS | F | Significance $F$ |
| Regression | 1 | 2.60991 | 2.60991 | 16.53624 | 0.0004769 |
| Residual | 23 | 3.63009 | 0.15783 |  |  |
| Total | 24 | 6.24 |  |  |  |


|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | Stat | P-value | Lower 95\% | Upper 95\% | Lower 95.0\% | Upper 95.0\% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | 1.56228 | 0.26834 | 5.82194 | 0.00001 | 1.00717 | 2.11739 | 1.00717 | 2.11739 |
| Target Speed (knot | -0.00301 | 0.00074 | -4.06648 | 0.00048 | -0.00453 | -0.00148 | -0.00453 | -0.00148 |

## EXCEL Functions



## EXCEL Equation on Chart

Plot of yi Versus Target Speed xi (knots)


## EXCEL Array Functions

Three key functions:
=transpose(range)
=mmult(range1, range2)
=minverse(range)
Requires Cntrl-Shift-Enter each time.

## EXCEL Matrix Manipulation

Define the design matrix X by adding a column of " 1 "s for the constant in the model.

Then, progressively calculate:

- the transpose $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$
- the product $X^{\prime} X$
- the inverse of $X^{\prime} X$
- the product $X^{\prime} Y$
- the LS regression coefficients $=\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1}\left(X^{\prime} Y\right)$

The standard errors of the coefficients can be obtained from the square root of the diagonal elements of the variance-covariance matrix: MSE $x\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1}$. Find MSE from the residuals SS and df.

## EXCEL Matrix Example



| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 220 | 230 | 240 | 250 | 270 | 280 | 300 | 310 | 330 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 |

## EXCEL Matrix Example Standard Errors

| Target <br> Speed <br> (knots) xi | Hit or <br> Miss yi |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 1 | 0.9612 | Resed | Residuals 0.0388

$\left[X^{\prime} \mathrm{X}^{-1}\right.$<br>$0.456241-0.0012$<br>-0.0012 3.46E-06<br>MSE x $\left[X^{\prime} X\right]^{-1}$<br>0.072008 -0.00019<br>-0.00019 5.46E-07<br>Standard Errors of Coefficients<br>$\beta_{0} \quad 0.268344$<br>$\beta_{1} \quad 0.000739$

Fitted model appears adequate since all $Y$ predictions are between 0 and 1 . If not, would need non-linear model.

## Simple Linear Regression in JMP

- Specify number of rows for data
- Set up X column
- Set up Y column
- Select under "Analyze" "Fit Y by X"
- For multiple regression, select under "Analyze" "Fit Model"


## Data Table in JMP

Note that $Y$ is specified " $C$ " for continuous at this point.

| "C" | 風 Montgomery 6.4 SLR |  |  | - $\square$ - $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25 Rows 2 Cols |  | $\rightarrow$ ( <br> Hit or Miss Y | - |
|  | 1 | 400 | 0 |  |
|  | 2 | 220 | 1 |  |
|  | 3 | 490 | 0 |  |
|  | 4 | 410 | 1 |  |
|  | 5 | 500 | 0 |  |
|  | 6 | 270 | 0 |  |
|  | 7 | 200 | 1 |  |
|  | 8 | 470 | 0 |  |
|  | 9 | 480 | 0 |  |
|  | 10 | 310 | 1 |  |
|  | 11 | 240 | 1 |  |
|  | 12 | 490 | 0 |  |
|  | 13 | 420 | 0 |  |
|  | 14 | 330 | 1 |  |
|  | 15 | 280 | 1 |  |
|  | 16 | 210 | 1 |  |
|  | 17 | 300 | 1 |  |
|  | 18 | 470 | 1 |  |
|  | 19 | 230 | 0 |  |
|  | 20 | 430 | 0 |  |
|  | 21 | 460 | 0 |  |
|  | 22 | 220 | 1 |  |
|  | 23 | 250 | 1 |  |
|  | 24 | 200 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 0 Selected | 1 |  | 1 |

## Fit Model in JMP



## Weighted Least Squares Regression

In weighted least squares regression, the squared deviation between the observed and predicted value (that is, the squared residual) is multiplied by weights $w_{i}$ that are inversely proportional to $Y_{i}$. We then minimize the following function with respect to the coefficients $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$ :

$$
S S_{w}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(Y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} X_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

## Weighted LS Regression in EXCEL

Several methods exist:

- Transform all variables, including constant. Use "Regression" macro in "Data Analysis Tools" with no intercept
- Use "Solver" routine on sum of squares of weighted residuals
- Use EXCEL array tools (transpose, minverse, and mmult) to define and manipulate matrices. (Requires Cntrl-Shift-Enter for array entry.)


## Transform Method for Weighted Least Squares

Transform the variables by dividing each term in the model by the square root of the variance of $Y_{i}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{w} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(Y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} X_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{Y_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var} Y_{i}}}-\beta_{0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var} Y_{i}}}-\beta_{1} \frac{X_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var} Y_{i}}}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}^{\prime}-\beta_{0} Z_{i}-\beta_{1} X_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Transformed Variables

The expression below can be solved using ordinary LS multiple regression with the intercept (constant term) equal to zero.

$$
S S_{w}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}^{\prime}-\beta_{0} Z_{i}-\beta_{1} X_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}
$$

## Transforming Variables

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Transformed Factors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test <br> Firing I | Constant | Speed (knots) xi | Hit or Miss yi | Y Pred | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{Y})= \\ & \left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{*}\left(1-\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}= \\ 1 / \mathrm{sqrt}[\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{y})] \end{gathered}$ | Constant T*Cnst | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{T}^{*} \mathrm{X}$ | $Y=T^{*} \mathrm{yi}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 400 | 0 | 0.3601 | 0.2304 | 2.083 | 2.0832 | 833.3 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 1 | 220 | 1 | 0.9011 | 0.0891 | 3.350 | 3.3496 | 736.9 | 3.3496 |
| 3 | 1 | 490 | 0 | 0.0896 | 0.0816 | 3.501 | 3.5009 | 1715.4 | 0.0000 |
| 4 | 1 | 410 | 1 | 0.3301 | 0.2211 | 2.127 | 2.1266 | 871.9 | 2.1266 |
| 5 | 1 | 500 | 0 | 0.0596 | 0.0560 | 4.225 | 4.2250 | 2112.5 | 0.0000 |
| 6 | 1 | 270 | 0 | 0.7508 | 0.1871 | 2.312 | 2.3119 | 624.2 | 0.0000 |
| 7 | 1 | 200 | 1 | 0.9612 | 0.0373 | 5.178 | 5.1780 | 1035.6 | 5.1780 |
| 8 | 1 | 470 | 0 | 0.1497 | 0.1273 | 2.803 | 2.8026 | 1317.2 | 0.0000 |
| 9 | 1 | 480 | 0 | 0.1197 | 0.1054 | 3.081 | 3.0809 | 1478.8 | 0.0000 |
| 10 | 1 | 310 | 1 | 0.6306 | 0.2329 | 2.072 | 2.0719 | 642.3 | 2.0719 |
| 11 | 1 | 240 | 1 | 0.8410 | 0.1337 | 2.735 | 2.7345 | 656.3 | 2.7345 |
| 12 | 1 | 490 | 0 | 0.0896 | 0.0816 | 3.501 | 3.5009 | 1715.4 | 0.0000 |
| 13 | 1 | 420 | 0 | 0.3000 | 0.2100 | 2.182 | 2.1822 | 916.5 | 0.0000 |
| 14 | 1 | 330 | 1 | 0.5705 | 0.2450 | 2.020 | 2.0202 | 666.7 | 2.0202 |
| 15 | 1 | 280 | 1 | 0.7208 | 0.2013 | 2.229 | 2.2290 | 624.1 | 2.2290 |
| 16 | 1 | 210 | 1 | 0.9311 | 0.0641 | 3.949 | 3.9493 | 829.3 | 3.9493 |
| 17 | 1 | 300 | 1 | 0.6607 | 0.2242 | 2.112 | 2.1120 | 633.6 | 2.1120 |
| 18 | 1 | 470 | 1 | 0.1497 | 0.1273 | 2.803 | 2.8026 | 1317.2 | 2.8026 |
| 19 | 1 | 230 | 0 | 0.8710 | 0.1123 | 2.984 | 2.9836 | 686.2 | 0.0000 |
| 20 | 1 | 430 | 0 | 0.2699 | 0.1971 | 2.253 | 2.2526 | 968.6 | 0.0000 |
| 21 | 1 | 460 | 0 | 0.1798 | 0.1475 | 2.604 | 2.6041 | 1197.9 | 0.0000 |
| 22 | 1 | 220 | 1 | 0.9011 | 0.0891 | 3.350 | 3.3496 | 736.9 | 3.3496 |
| 23 | 1 | 250 | 1 | 0.8109 | 0.1533 | 2.554 | 2.5538 | 638.5 | 2.5538 |
| 24 | 1 | 200 | 1 | 0.9612 | 0.0373 | 5.178 | 5.1780 | 1035.6 | 5.1780 |
| 25 | 1 | 390 | 0 | 0.3902 | 0.2379 | 2.050 | 2.0501 | 799.5 | 0.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LS Coeff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | b0 | 1.56228 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | b1 | -0.00301 |  |  |  |  |  |

## EXCEL Data Analysis Regression on Transformed Factors (Intercept =0)

| SUMMARY OUTPUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple R | 0.8252 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R Square | 0.6809 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.6235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Error | 1.0060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $d f$ | SS | MS | $F$ | Significance $F$ |  |  |  |
| Regression | 2 | 49.6625 | 24.8312 | 24.5376 | 2.4989E-06 |  |  |  |
| Residual | 23 | 23.2753 | 1.0120 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 25 | 72.9377 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | $t$ Stat | $P$-value | Lower 95\% | Upper 95\% | Lower 95.0\% | Upper 95.0\% |
| Intercept | 0 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| Constant T*Cnst | 1.586687 | 0.185892 | 8.535539 | 1.39E-08 | 1.20214 | 1.97123 | 1.20214 | 1.97123 |
| $X=T^{*} X$ | -0.003091 | 0.000533 | -5.79882 | $6.58 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -0.00419 | -0.00199 | -0.00419 | -0.00199 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Weighted Least Squares Analysis Using Solver

- Use the unweighted LS coefficients to predict $Y$.
- Calculate the variance of $Y_{i}$ based on predicted $Y$ in equation $Y_{i}\left(1-Y_{i}\right)$
- Calculate the weights $w_{i}$ as the reciprocal variance of $Y$
- Using trial settings for the coefficients for weighted LS regression, calculate the sum of the squared residuals (= observed minus predicted response) weighted by $w_{i}$.
- Apply solver to minimize this sum by changing the weighted coefficients


## Solver Routine



## Solver Solution

| SSQ Wres | SS Res^2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23.2753 | 3.63288 | b0 WLS | 1.586687 |
| DF | DF | b1 WLS | -0.00309 |
| 23 | 23 |  |  |
| MSE | MSE |  |  |
| 1.01197 | 0.157951 |  |  |

## EXCEL Matrix Manipulation

Define the design matrix $X$ by adding a column of "1"s for the constant in the model. Define the diagonal weight matrix V with variances along diagonal.

The standard error of the weighted LS coefficients can be obtained from:
$\operatorname{Var} \beta^{*}=\left(X^{\prime} V^{-1} X\right)^{-1}$

Then, progressively calculate:

- the inverse $\mathrm{V}^{-1}$
- the product $\mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{X}$
- the transpose $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$
- the product $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{X}$
- the inverse of $X^{\prime} V^{-1} X$
- the product $\mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{Y}$
- the product $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{Y}$
- the coefficients $=\left(X^{\prime} V^{-1} X\right)^{-1}\left(X^{\prime} V^{-1} Y\right)$


## Weighted Matrix Results

| $\left[\mathrm{K}^{12} \mathrm{U}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}\right]^{-1}$ |  | $x^{\prime \prime 2} w^{-1} y$ | $\left[X^{4} y^{-1} x^{-1}\right]^{\prime \prime} u^{-1} y$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.034147 | -9.1EE-05 | 135.8388 | 1.586687 |  |
| -9.16E-05 | 2.91E-07 | 33314.51 | -0.003091 |  |
| Std Error |  |  |  |  |
| 610 | 0.184789 |  |  |  |
| b 1 | 0.00053 |  |  |  |

## Weighted LS in JMP

- Set up a column for predicted Y using ordinary LS coefficients (Requires use of formula calculator in JMP)
- Set up column for weights as reciprocal variance of $Y$ using formula calculator
- Label this column as weights and select "Fit Model"


## Weighted LS Data Table in JMP

| ( ${ }^{\text {ra }}$, Montgomery Table 6.4 |  |  |  |  | - $\square$ - $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 Rows 4 Cols | (C) 图 <br> Target Speed X | C) <br> Hit or Miss Y | $\square \square$ <br> Predicted Y | C. 回 <br> Weights | - |
| 1 | 400 | 0 | 0.360282 | 4.338792 |  |
| 2 | 220 | 1 | 0.901182 | 11.22927 |  |
| 3 | 490 | 0 | 0.089832 | 12.23059 |  |
| 4 | 410 | 1 | 0.330232 | 4.521228 |  |
| 5 | 500 | 0 | 0.059782 | 17.79103 |  |
| 6 | 270 | 0 | 0.750932 | 5.346646 |  |
| 7 | 200 | 1 | 0.961282 | 26.86806 |  |
| 8 | 470 | 0 | 0.149932 | 7.846067 |  |
| 9 | 480 | 0 | 0.119882 | 9.477747 |  |
| 10 | 310 | 1 | 0.630732 | 4.29352 |  |
| 11 | 240 | 1 | 0.841082 | 7.481498 |  |
| 12 | 490 | 0 | 0.089832 | 12.23059 |  |
| 13 | 420 | 0 | 0.300182 | 4.760255 |  |
| 14 | 330 | 1 | 0.570632 | 4.081447 |  |
| 15 | 280 | 1 | 0.720882 | 4.969904 |  |
| 16 | 210 | 1 | 0.931232 | 15.61549 |  |
| 17 | 300 | 1 | 0.660782 | 4.461315 |  |
| 18 | 470 | 1 | 0.149932 | 7.846067 |  |
| 19 | 230 | 0 | 0.871132 | 8.90781 |  |
| 20 | 430 | 0 | 0.270132 | 5.072005 |  |
| 21 | 460 | 0 | 0.179982 | 6.775597 |  |
| 22 | 220 | 1 | 0.901182 | 11.22927 |  |
| 23 | 250 | 1 | 0.811032 | 6.524898 |  |
| 24 | 200 | 1 | 0.961282 | 26.86806 |  |
| 25 | 390 | 0 | 0.390332 | 4.202159 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| 0 Selected |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

## Fit Model for Weighted LS in JMP

## * Montgomely Table 6. 4: Model Fit

| Response: Hit or Miss Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Fit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RSquare |  |  | 0.59373 |  |  |  |
| RSquare Adj |  |  | 0.576066 |  |  |  |
| Root Mean Square Error |  |  | 1.00592 |  |  |  |
| Mean of Response |  |  | 0.578757 |  |  |  |
| Observations (or Sum Wigts) |  |  | 234.9693 |  |  |  |
| Lack of Fit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter Estimates - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term Estimate |  |  | Std Error | $t$ Ratio | Probs H\| $^{\text {\| }}$ |  |
| Intercept Target Speed X | 1.5866 | 1907 | 0.185826 | 8.54 | < 00001 |  |
|  | -0.003 | 3091 | 0.000533 | -5.80 | $\leqslant .0001$ |  |
| Effect Test |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | Nparm | DF | Sum of Squ | ares | F Ratio | ProbsF |
| Target Speed X | 1 | 1 | 34.01 | 1759 | 33.6126 | \& 00001 |



## Logistic Regression, A Non-Linear Model

- The linear model constrains the response to have either a zero probability or a probability of one at large or small values of the regressor. This model may be unreasonable.
- Instead, we propose a model in which the probabilities of zero and one are reached asymptotically.
- Frequently we find that the response function is $S$ shaped, similar to the CDF of a normal distribution. In fact, probit analysis involves modeling the response with a normal CDF.


## Logistic Function Model

We attempt to model the indicator variable response using the logistic function (logit analysis):

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(Y \mid X)=p & =\frac{\exp \left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X\right)}{1+\exp \left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} X\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linearizing Logistic Function

Consider the logit transformation of the probability $p$ :

$$
p^{*}=\ln \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X
$$

$p^{*}$ is called the logit mean response. The logit response function is a linear model.

## Fitting the Logit Response Model

Two Possibilities:

1. If we have repeat observations on $Y$ at each level of $X$, we can estimate the probabilities using the proportion of " 1 "s at each $X$. Then, we fit the logit response function using weighted least squares.
2. If we have only a few or no repeat observations at the various $X$ values, we cannot use proportions. We then estimate the logit response function from individual $Y$ observations using maximum likelihood methods.

## Weighted LS for Fitting Logit Response Model

- The observed proportion at each $X$ level is

$$
\bar{p}_{i}=\frac{\left(\# \text { of 1's at } X_{i}\right)}{\left(\# \text { of observations at } X_{i}\right)}
$$

- If the number of observations at each level of $X$ is large, the variance of the transformed proportion
is

$$
\bar{p}_{i}^{*}=\ln \left(\frac{\bar{p}_{i}}{1-\bar{p}_{i}}\right)
$$

$$
V\left(\bar{p}_{i}^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{n_{i} \bar{p}_{i}\left(1-\bar{p}_{i}\right)}
$$

## Weights for LS Regression

We use the appropriate weights

$$
w_{i}=n_{i} \bar{p}_{i}\left(1-\bar{p}_{i}\right)
$$

and solve using weighted LS methods previously shown using EXCEL or JMP. Then transform $p^{*}$ to the original units $p$ using logistic function.

$$
\hat{p}=\frac{e^{\hat{p}^{*}}}{1+e^{\hat{p}^{*}}}
$$

## Weighted LS Logit Regression

- We need to set following columns:
- X
$-N$ (number of observations at each X )
- $Y$ (number of 1 's at each X )
- $p_{i}$ (proportion)
$-p^{*}{ }_{i}$ (transformed proportion)
- $w_{i}$ (weights)
- At this point, may want to consider MLE methods in JMP.


## Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Logistic Grouped Data in JMP

- Data table is easy to set up.
- Column with each $X$ value sequentially repeated
- $Y$ column with alternating 0 's and 1 's
- Frequency column for counts of 0's and 1's
- Label $X$ column C and " $X$ ", $Y$ column N (nominal) and $Y$, and Frequency column C and "F"
- Then run "Fit $Y$ by $X$ "


## Caution: A JMP "Feature"

- JMP will model the lowest value of the binary response as the "success" and the alternative as the failure.
- Thus, " 0 " will be treated as success and " 1 " as failure. Similarly, "no" will be viewed as success and "yes" as failure, since " $n$ " comes before " $y$ " in the alphabet.
- Consequently, the function you expect to be monotonically increasing will appear as decreasing and vice versa unless you flip the indicator values.
- In the examples that follow, I have listed the tables as they appear in texts but displayed the graphs by interchanging 1's and 0's for analysis (Fit $Y$ by $X$ )


## MLE Table for Grouped Data

| 준 NWK Table 16.1 |  |  |  | - $\square$ \| $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 Rows 3 Cols | C <br> Price Reduction $X$ |  | C ( <br> Count | - |
| 1 | 5 | 1 | 168 |  |
| 2 | 5 | 0 | 32 |  |
| 3 | 10 | 1 | 149 |  |
| 4 | 10 | 0 | 51 |  |
| 5 | 15 | 1 | 130 |  |
| 6 | 15 | 0 | 70 |  |
| 7 | 20 | 1 | 97 |  |
| 8 | 20 | 0 | 103 |  |
| 9 | 30 | 1 | 52 |  |
| 10 | 30 | 0 | 148 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| 0 Selected | 1 |  |  | 1 |

Example from Applied Linear Statistical Models by Neter, Wasserman, and Kutner, Table 16.1

## Fit Y by X



## Logistic Regression in Jump Individual Values

- We can use JMP's MLE to fit a model to the data.
- The data table entry is simple:
- Column for $X$
- Column for Y or 1's and 0's
- Label $X$ column $C$ and $X$
- Label Y column N and Y
- Fit Y by X


## Data Table for Logistic MLE

Example from Applied Linear Statistical Models by Neter, Wasserman, and Kutner, Table 16.2

| R NWK Table 16.2 |  |  | - $\square$ - $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 Rows 2 Cols | C ( <br> Mths $\operatorname{Exp} \mathrm{X}$ | ( B <br> Task Suce Y | - |
| 1 | 14 | 0 |  |
| 2 | 29 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 6 | 0 |  |
| 4 | 25 | 1 |  |
| 5 | 18 | 1 |  |
| 6 | 4 | 0 |  |
| 7 | 18 | 0 |  |
| 8 | 12 | 0 |  |
| 9 | 22 | 1 |  |
| 10 | 6 | 0 |  |
| 11 | 30 | 1 |  |
| 12 | 11 | 0 |  |
| 13 | 30 | 1 |  |
| 14 | 5 | 0 |  |
| 15 | 20 | 1 |  |
| 16 | 13 | 0 |  |
| 17 | 9 | 0 |  |
| 18 | 32 | 1 |  |
| 19 | 24 | 0 |  |
| 20 | 13 | 1 |  |
| 21 | 19 | 0 |  |
| 22 | 4 | 0 |  |
| 23 | 28 | 1 |  |
| 24 | 22 | 1 |  |
| 25 | 8 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | $\square$ |
| 0 Selected | 1 |  | $\rangle$ |

## Fit Y by X MLE Output



## Multiple Logistic Regression

Here's an example from the JMP In training manual that comes with the student version of JMP:

A weatherman is trying to predict the precipitation probability by looking at the morning temperature and the barometric pressure. He generates a table for 30 days in April. If the precipitation was greater than 0.02 inches, the day was called rainy. If below, then dry.

## Spring．JMP Data

## Partial Table：

| 大 Smind |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\qquad$ | 目 <br> mons | （⿴囗 $\square$ morth | 困 clate | C）$\square$ Tenp | $\text { C } \square$ | C <br> Huridt：FM | C $\square$ <br> Hunida：PM | $\square$ <br> Precip | $\square$ <br> Pressur | C <br> wrDel：PM |  | $\text { C } \square$ <br> wrSpeed | $\square$ <br> SkyCover |  |
| 3 | 04 | AFR | 04.00 | 50 | 3 | 63 | 06 | 0.27 | 29.4 | 7 | 05 | 6.8 | 8 | Fairy |
| 4 | 04 | AFR | 04．04 | 43 | 4 | 47 | 42 | 0.05 | 29.21 | 25 | 42 | 14.2 | 10 | Rainy |
| 5 | 04 | AFR | 04.05 | 42 | 5 | 44 | 43 | 0.001 | 29.32 | 27 | 43 | 97 | 8 | Dry |
| 6 | 04 | AFR | 04.06 | 46 | 6 | 42 | 41 | 0.001 | 29．33 | 29 | 41 | 10.5 | 7 | Dry |
| 7 | 04 | APR | 04，07 | 52 | 7 | 40 | 37 | 0 | 293 | 27 | 37 | 8.8 | 3 | Dry |
| 8 | 04 | APR | 04.08 | 59 | 8 | 36 | 23 | 0 | 29.31 | 30 | 23 | 67 | 3 | Dry |
| 9 | 04 | APR | 04.09 | 56 | 9 | 31 | 25 | 0 | 29．33 | 34 | 25 | 3.3 | 3 | Ory |
| 10 | 04 | AFR | 04／10 | 57 | 10 | 27 | 23 | 0 | 29.41 | 22 | 23 | 1.1 | 0 | Dry |
| 11 | 04 | APR | 04／11 | 62 | 11 | 42 | 43 | 0.08 | 29.36 | 17 | 43 | 6.3 | 8 | Rainy |
| 12 | 04 | AFR | 04／72 | 66 | 12 | 45 | 66 | 0.23 | 29.38 | 22 | 66 | 68 | 8 | Fairy |

## JMP Logistic Analysis: Fit Y by X



## Multiple Logistic Regression in JMP

- Fit Y by X
- Generates a separate logistic regression for each predictor column $X_{i}$
- Fit Model

$$
E\left(Y \mid X_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} X_{i}\right)}
$$

- Fits an overall logistic regression model for specified predictor columns X's and interactions

$$
E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} X_{1}-\beta_{2} X_{2}-\beta_{12} X_{1} X_{2}\right)}
$$

## Conclusion

- Binary response data occurs in many important applications.
- The simple linear regression model has constraints that may affect its adequacy.
- The logistic model has many desirable properties for modeling indicator variables.
- EXCEL and JMP have excellent capabilities for analysis and modeling of binary data.
- For logistic regression modeling, JMP's MLE routines are easy to apply and very useful.

